

Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment/Unit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Determining Authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
DA17/0497				565-609	Luddenham Road	LUDDENHAM	2745							Council	13/04/2018
DA17/0621				175	Stafford Dstreet	PENRITH	2750							Council	26/04/2018
DA17/1005				48-54	Clark Road	LONDONDERRY	2753							Council	9/05/2018
DA18/0056				291-297	Mt Vernon Road	MOUNT VERNON	2178							Council	21/11/2018
DA18/0675				64	Doncaster Ave	CLAREMONT MEADOWS	2747							Council	20/12/2018
DA18/0860	2B	161921		1	Station Lane	PENRITH	2750	4: Residential - New multi unit	LEP 2010	R4	Minimum lot size	It is considered that the objection to the minimum lot size standard is well founded and that based on the details provided, strict adherence to the development standard would appear to be unreasonable and unnecessary.	17%	Local Planning Panel	27/02/2019
DA17/1341	37 - 39	31239		32	Hope Street	PENRITH	2750	4: Residential - New multi unit	LEP 2010	R4	Building Height	Strict compliance with the prescriptive building height control is unreasonable and unnecessary in the context of the proposal and its particular circumstances.	19.7%	Land & Environment Court	12/03/2019
DA18/1189	1	590302		131	Bringelly Road	KINGSWOOD	2747	14: Other	LEP 2010	R2	Building Height	Building Design	47%	Regional Planning Panel	21/05/2019
DA18/0890	58-59	33490		36-38	Rodley Avenue	PENRITH	2750	4: Residential - New multi unit	LEP 2010	R4	Building Height	Constrained Site	12.2%	Local Planning Panel	22/05/2019
DA18/0488	34 - 36	31239		26	Hope Street	PENRITH	2750	4: Residential - New multi unit	LEP 2010	R4	Building Height	Consistent with surrounding development	10%	Local Planning Panel	13/06/2019
DA18/1114	20 & 13	1216618 & 217705		128 and 130-172	Andrews Road	PENRITH	2750	11: Industrial	LEP 2010	IN1	Building Height	Compliance with the development standard is unreasonable and unnecessary in the circumstances of the case.	13.75%	Regional Planning Panel	26/06/2019